

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
ELKINS**

JAMES A. RYMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:16-CV-37
(BAILEY)**

**NANCY A. BERRYHILL,
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,**

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Robert W. Trumble. [Doc. 17]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Trumble for submission of a proposed report and recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Trumble filed his R&R on April 17, 2017, wherein he recommends this Court grant the defendant's motion for summary judgment and deny the plaintiff's motion for summary judgment.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's finding to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. ***Thomas v. Arn***, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes waiver of *de novo* review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); ***Snyder v. Ridenour***, 889

F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); **United States v. Schronce**, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Trumble's R&R were due within fourteen (14) days of service. To date, no objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.


Upon careful review, it is the opinion of this Court that the Report and Recommendation [Doc. 17] should be, and is, hereby **ORDERED ADOPTED** for the reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. As such, this Court hereby **GRANTS** the defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 10] and **DENIES** the plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 7].

Accordingly, this Court hereby **DENIES** and **DISMISSES** the plaintiff's Complaint [Doc. 1] and **ORDERS** that this matter be **STRICKEN** from the active docket of this Court. This Court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment in favor of the defendant.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to all counsel of record herein.

DATED: May 2, 2017.



JOHN PRESTON BAILEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE